

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/717,641	11/21/2003	Fumitaka Toyomura	03500.017725	9149
5514	7590 04/20/2005		EXAMINER	
FITZPATRICK CELLA HARPER & SCINTO			NGUYEN, HOANG M	
30 ROCKEFE NEW YORK,			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
.			3748	
			DATE MAILED: 04/20/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/717,641 Examiner	TOYOMURA ET AL.				
	,,		3748				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Hoang M Nguyen					
Period fo							
THE in External form of the control	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staticately received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for the, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18	March 2005.					
· —	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)	_						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>3,8-12,17 and 18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,13-16,19 and 20 is/are rejected.						
7)							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Applicati	ion Papers						
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exami	ner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/18/05</u> . 6)							

Applicant's amendment dated March 18, 2005, has been fully considered.

Applicant has argued that Kimura discloses DC-DC converters into each solar cell module or solar cell string, not in a single solar cell element as disclosed in this application. The Examiner strongly disagrees. As best understood by the Examiner, Applicant has tried to argue that "solar cell module" is not the same as "solar cell element". According to the Webster Dictionary, module is "a uniform structure", "a standardized unit". Element is "a member of a set". It's very clear that "element" is broader than "module". Therefore, it's proper to use a "solar cell module" to reject a "solar cell element".

For the reasons set forth above, this rejection has been made final.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-2, 13-14, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being anticipated by US 4274044 (Barre) in view of US2001/0035180 (Kimura et al).

Barre discloses a solar energy system comprising a single solar cell 5, and an dc-dc converter as a power conversion device. Barre does not disclose more than one

Art Unit: 3748

DC-DC converter in the solar cell. Kimura et al teaches it's well known to have more than one DC-DC converters 80a, 80b, for a solar cell (figure 7). It would have been obvious to provide more than one DC-DC converters in Barre as taught by Kimura for the purpose of more effectively converting the energy.

Claims 4-7, 15, 19-20, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. 4274044 (Barre) as modified by Kimura and further in view of U.S. 6515218 (Shimizu et al). Barre discloses all the claimed subject matter as set forth above in the rejection of claim 1, but does not disclose an exposed wire portion, and many layers as claimed. Shimizu et al is relied upon to disclose to have solar cell having many layers including conductive layer, semiconductor layer...etc.. and exposed wire portion. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to modify the solar cell system in Barre to use the type of solar cell in Shimizu et al for the purpose of more effectively converting energy.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 3748

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Examiner should be directed to Examiner Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-4861. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday--Friday from 12:30 AM to 10:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas Denion, can be reached on (571)-272-4859. The fax phone number for the Examiner is (703) 872-9302 for regular communication, and (703) 872-9303 for after final communication.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0861.

HOANG NGUYEN PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 3748

Hoang Minh Nguyen 4/15/05